K39692	9	FILED
(CDC.Inmate No.)		MAR 1 9 2008
		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
Unite	d States Distric	t Court
	hern District of Ca	lifornia
LIVER MATTHEWS JR (Enter full name of plaintiff in this action.)		
	Plaintiff,	Civil Case No. <u>08-0011 J</u> LS (i) (To be supplied by Court Clerk)
LIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF COO DARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS	RECTION AND REHABILITY	FIRST AMENDED  Complaint under the
		Civil Rights Act 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(Enter full name of each defendant in this action.)	, Defendant(s).	
A. Jurisdiction		
Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to assert jurisdiction under different		nd 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you wish to them below.
B. Parties		
b. Parties		NAA-1-11
	ges that the civil rights of P	Plaintiff, OLVER MATTHEWS  (print Plaintiff's name)

投票员 化多类硬化溶解剂 医原门 网络拉拉马拉斯瓦 医克特特氏病 医氯化钾钾钠 使加强多数性的 医异性氏病毒 经营业员	이는 기반을 가고를 된 것만 보면 아버지는 중요하다. 이 기사는 전 속으로 가는 한국을 가고 한 것이 되는 것은 나는
2. <u>Defendants</u> : (Attach same information on additional pages	if you are naming more than 4 defendants.)
ALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS	
Defendant DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  Defendant D. C. R. BAKER reside	es in SAN DIEGO, CA.
(name) CALLIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	OF CORRECTION (County of residence)
and is employed as a Deputy Commissions	This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))	
nis/her D individual D official capacity. (Check one or both.)	Explain now this defendant was acting
under color of law: Inconsistent / Inc	mpotible with employm
Using prestige / Influence of th	e State Department of Co
rections for private gain or ad	lyantage and illegal natu
Defendant reside	es in
(name)	(County of residence)
and is employed as a	This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))	
his/her undividual official capacity. (Check one or both.)	Explain how this defendant was acting
under color of law:	
Defendant reside	
(name)	(County of residence)
(name) and is employed as a	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name) and is employed as a (defendant's position/title (if any))	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name) and is employed as a (defendant's position/title (if any))	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her individual individual capacity (Check one or both.)	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her individual individual capacity (Check one or both.)	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her individual individual capacity. (Check one or both.)  under color of law:	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendantreside	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant residented (name)	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence)
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity. (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity. (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence) This defendant is sued in
nand is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any)) his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence) This defendant is sued in
(name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence) This defendant is sued in
name) and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any)) his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence) This defendant is sued in
name)  and is employed as a  (defendant's position/title (if any))  his/her □ individual □ official capacity (Check one or both.)  under color of law:  Defendant	(County of residence) This defendant is sued in Explain how this defendant was acting es in (County of residence) This defendant is sued in

4 1983 SD Form ≰Rev. 4/06)

C. Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them if necessary.) Count 1: The following civil right has been violated:

(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts, due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.1 Commissioner K. BAKER for the Dept. of Corrections (BPH) nor were there PINE There apparently the DA's office did not feel

occured.

Count 2. The following civil right has been violated:	(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,
due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, etc.)	freedom from cruel and unusual punishment,
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to C your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be consider important to C	Count 2. State what happened clearly and in errain to describe exactly what each defendant.
by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 2.]	
§ 1983 SD Form.	

# Gase 3:08-cv-0001:1-JLS-PCL Document 6 Filed 03/19/2008 Page 5 of 29

ue process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of associatio	n, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment,
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to our own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be a name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 3.]	o Count 3. State what happened clearly and in ecertain to describe exactly what each defendan
<u> Northern Community of the Community of</u>	

D. Previous Lawsuits and Administrative Relief
1. Have you filed other lawsuits in state or federal courts dealing with the same or similar facts
involved in this case? ☐ Yes ☑ No.
To an all the season with the areas below. [If more than one attach additional
If your answer is "Yes", describe each suit in the space below. [If more than one, attach additional
pages providing the same information as below.]
그런 맞는데 경우 하다면 하는데 그는 사람들이 하는데 하는데 되는데 되는데 되는데 되는데 되었다.
(a) Parties to the previous lawsuit:
Plaintiffs:
Defendants:
是这一个大的一点的,我的感觉的,只要说,我们的人,这个人就能够一定,我就是我的人的事情,也不能够没有,一个就会的人,这一个人,我们的人,也不是一个人的,他们的
(b) Name of the court and docket number:
원래는 이 경험하게 한 경험하게 하실 맛이 살았다. 그는 아래지는 아니는 이번의 이 모양 사람이 살아야 한다.
(c) Disposition: [For example, was the case dismissed, appealed, or still pending?]
조리막 그림과 본 아름이 그들을 것 그렇는데 이렇는 생각들과 회사를 한 것이라고 말하시네요?
(d) Issues raised:
(e) Approximate date case was filed:
(A) Approximate date of disposition:
(f) Approximate date of disposition:
2. Have you previously sought and exhausted all forms of informal or formal relief from the
proper administrative officials regarding the acts alleged in Part C above? [E.g., CDC Inmate/Parolee
Appeal Form 602, etc.]? ☑ Yes □ No.
If your answer is "Yes", briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answer
is "No", briefly explain why administrative relief was not sought.
(SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS)
(SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS)

§ 1983 SD Form (Rev. 4/06)

			11.4		The second of	
E. R		4	•	-		
W K	aan	DOT.	tar		TO IL	
	cuu	COL	141	17.0		
			,			

Plaintiff requests that this Court grant the following relief:

1. A	n injunction prev	enting defend	ant(s): <u>+o cc</u>	ease of a	y harras	sment
/ Discrimin						
or any s			Α		The state of the s	
Civil Rigi	nts to du	re proce	es of la	iw.		.,
	amages in the su	A				
	initive damages			00		

#### F. Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff demands a trial by  $\square$  Jury  $\square$  Court. (Choose one.)

#### G. Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction

In order to insure the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of Section 1983 Prisoner cases filed in this district, the Court has adopted a case assignment involving direct assignment of these cases to magistrate judges to conduct all proceedings including jury or bench trial and the entry of final judgment on consent of all the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), thus waiving the right to proceed before a district judge. The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences.

The Court encourages parties to utilize this efficient and expeditious program for case resolution due to the trial judge quality of the magistrate judges and to maximize access to the court system in a district where the criminal case loads severely limits the availability of the district judges for trial of civil cases. Consent to a magistrate judge will likely result in an earlier trial date. If you request that a district judge be designated to decide dispositive motions and try your case, a magistrate judge will nevertheless hear and decide all non-dispositive motions and will hear and issue a recommendation to the district judge as to all dispositive motions.

You may consent to have a magistrate judge conduct any and all further proceedings in this case, including trial, and the entry of final judgment by indicating your consent below.

Choose only one of the following:

Plaintiff consents to magistrate judge judge jurisdiction as set forth above.

OR

Plaintiff requests that a district judge be designated to decide dispositive matters and trial in this case.

Olivery Macture

Signature of Plaintiff

Case 3:08-CX-0009 1- JE-POLG DOCOMENT 6 1 Filed 63/19/2008 1/ PAGES 46295 Was arrested for ROBBERY P.C. sec. (225) and BATTERY ON SPOUSE P.C. Sec. (455). At that time there was no Parole Hold. On Sept 20, 2007 after parole was contacted about the arrest, a Parole hold was placed based on the arrest. There was neither an investigations to assess whether there allegations were true (which they aren't true) by the parole department neither did I ever see my parole officer whom has been handling my case For the past three (3) years. The San Diego, Police dept. created an arrest report of which I denied any stipulation that I committed a crime, and that a crime occurred when the police dept. submitted their report to the District Attorney's Office to File & complaint for the courts, The DA's office did not pursue, and did not file a complaint. There apparrently the DA's office did not Feel that a crime occurred also. Therefore the Board of Parole Hearings finding is void there was no violation of parole, my parole condition were to register as a drug of Finder and submitt to random drug testing. I have been in full compliance of all these; and also completed long-term residential treatment program; and under the Title 15 of the Dept of Corrections of the State of California sec. 2645. Hearing Procedures it states by law every conviction is a violation of parole. As I stated before the DA did not even file a charge. Therefore there was no violation of Parole, There was no pending criminal prosecution nothing. The DA. surely would have Filed if there any evi-dence a crime occurred; their non-actions confirms that 2 crime did not occur; even so the Board of Parole Hearings specifically made a Finding of ATT ROBBERY of which noth-

SEE EXHIBIT A & B reference to them of how

ing supports that Finding; therefore the Finding OF the

Board of Parole Hearings is void on its Face.

Note: There is no conviction of a crime. The Board of mission Hearings findings are on basis of assumption of a crime. The board of a crime in the findings are on basis of assumption of a crime. This has therefore deprived my federal constitution of a crime. This has therefore deprived my federal constitution rights - and exercises of illegal defending and federal constitution ment be tween time of arrest and time of the inducement of decision from the Board of Prison Hearing of this state of decision from the Board of Prison Hearing of this state of California's Department of California's Department of California's Department of Sciences of misinformation and thereof have been prejudiced. SEE: Townselow and sold for sixil rights and due process of the Isan.

# (SUPPORTING FACTS:)

Mhat did the Commissioner base his judge ment on? I am not quilty of this charge. I haven't violated any special conditions (this is a dem onstration of Incompatible Activity CCR:s Title 15. sec. 3413 and ab usive in it's nature). He is also based on an assumpt ion not fact (accordance with the officer; victims; and suspect testimonies) demonstrating prejudice and bias unto due-processes of law. There is also no preponderance of evidence to support any of Commissioner K. Baker's final judgement; which is adverse and dis Criminative toward the civility Prisoner/parolee right's of being of exercise's P. C. 422.6(a)(b)(c).

The Commissioner (K. BAKER) has demonstrated and abused his power of discretion by dis-all
owance of testimonies; therefore exercising prejudice
of pre-formed (pre-judged outcome (without any exidence's
of proof to support this judgement) and decision appears
bias and a lost of objectivity; discretionary decision
and is discrimination unto prisoner (parolee's right's being
exercised in righteous form an accordance with state)
federal law. This is Incompatible Activity; unlawfullnfederal law. This is Incompatible Activity; unlawfullnfluence; Mis-Carriage of Justice; and Malicious unto
the welfare and health within our society (communitie
the welfare and health within our society (communitie

Schwarzenegger case (No. Civ. 5-94-0671 LKK/GGH)

Penal (one 422.6(a)(b)(c)

Dans 4 of C

# (Rights às à parolee under the VALDIVIA Injunction)

The Board of Prison Hearings (BPH) and Califoria Department of Corrections and Aehabilitation (COX)
ire not permitted to use hearsay evidence against a paroler in a manner that violates the parolee's right to conront his or her accusers. That means that if a Deputy
Commissioner (DC) is going to consider a statement by
someone who is not at the hearing, there must be
atrong evidence that the statement is reliable and
there must be a very good reason for the witness
not to be at the hearing.

Commissioner K. BAKER has violated the VALDIVIA" Injunction in the above description.

Through non-assessing value of liberty Interest " cleprimation fairness" reliability of existing pretermination, probable value; administrative burdens and without preliminary hearing to det. ermine probable cause to believe that arrested parolee had committed eats that would constitute violation. These Incompatible Activities violates parolees procedural due process rights, and maintain parole revocation procedures which violate the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

California's process does not provide for a pre. liminary revocation hearing to determine whether there is probable cause to believe that a parolee committed a parole violation.

(The parolee is entirely excluded.)

When the deputy commissioner reviews the parole violation report to determine the appropriate screening offer, the parolee is neither present, nor has he had any opportunity to communicate with the deputy commissioner. Put directly, at no time prior to the determination of the screening of the has the parolee been given an opport mination of the screening of the has the parolee been given an opport unity to speak to the charges, challenge the contents of the violation unity to speak to the charges, challenge the report, present his own evidence, or to question witnesser. No chance to challenge either the parole hold for the charges. A formal revo cation hearing is scheduled where the parolee may then challenge the cation hearing is scheduled where the parolee may then challenge the charge leading to the hold (rather than the parole had). In sum, at no time do parolees have an opportunity to present wheir position to an independent clecision—maker or to challenge, in any manner, where the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole hold and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole had and resther the parole officer had probable cause for the parole had and resther the parole of the parole had any parole had a parole had any parole had a parole had a parole had a parole had

MVER JI

(P9.1)

## SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARDS

Summary judgement is Appropriate when it is demonstrated that there exists, no genuine issue as to any material fact, he moving party is entitled to judgement as a matter of law. Against party who Fails to make a showing sufficient to establish existence of an element essential to that parties case - that party will bear the burden of proof at trial.

A complete Failure of proof concerning an essential ement of the non-moving party's case necessarily renders all other Facts naterial. Summary Judgement should be granted.

If the moving party meets its initial responsibility, the bunden of proof then shifts to the opposing party to establish that a genuine issue as to any material fact actually does exist.

In attempting to establish the existence of this factual dispute is required to tender evidence of specific Facts in the form of affidavits and for admissible discovery material, in support of its contention the the dispute exists. The opposing party mut demonstrate that the fact in contention is material ie., a fact that might affect the outcome.

Pierce the pleadings and to assess the proof in order to see whether there is genuine need for trial.

Merertheless, inferences are not drawn out of the air and it is the opposing party's obliquation to produce a fact predicate from which the inference may be drawn.

PROLEDURAL DUE PROCESS }
FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS

As the Court in Morrissey noted begin noted as the precise nature of the government function have private interest that has been affected by the governmental action. After identifying nature of the right at cedures, and the probable value. If any.

Consider the administrative burden and other societal costs. The liberty interest at stake - a parolee's interest in retaining the enduring attachments of normal life It is self-evident that the liberty interest of a parolee is quite significant, and much greater than the liberty interest of a prisoner still confined within the prison system, Fairness and reliability"- how effective the procedures are in assuring a factually accurate statement 10 whether there is probable cause to believe that the parolee violated parole, and (2) whether the parolee did in fact violate parole,

The First stage is to insure that the parolee's life is not disrupted by an unjustified parole had, while the second stage requires reliable information justifying the parolee's long term reincarceration. Fundamentally the process due must include procedures which will prevent parole from being revoked because of " erroneous information or because of an erroneous evaluation.

California's unitary parole revocation hearin system does not comport with the requirements of the Federal constitution's Due Process Clause. In this motion, they contend that the State's Failure to conduct preliminary treatings at the time of parolee's arrest and detention is un constitutional.

As I have spoken and observe the cour " by which I am bound" have spoken with less than perfect clarity on the issue before me.

Commissioner K. Baker has demonstrated extreme prejudices and sias as well as a pre-judged/pre-formed out come and lost of objectivity creating administrative burdens and violating prisoner parelee right's under the Valdivia v. Schwarzenneger (No. Civ. 5=94-0671 LKK/GGH). This activity of Incompatible Activity is being used Unto Fabrication and my not being dis-charged on 12-02-2007. (DVFRV)

The commissioner also changed my charges Just 50 that he (through Fabrication) could conspire; endanger; and violate the civility of prisoners/paroleer right's of the process under the Constitutions 14th Amendment and Civil Rights there of performances and demonstrations of Mis-Carriages of Justices: False Imprisonment; (through alsified documentations) Incompatible Activity; Hate Crimes; and Terrorism. I prisoner Matthews K39692 are forwarding this petition of Habeas Corpus form that this activity of demonstration of government agents of this State of California may be corrected.

Case 3:08-cv-00011-JLS-PCL	Document 6	Filed 03/19	2008 Page 1	6 of 29
	FXHI	BIT 1	+	
F420-11S	$\mathcal{L}$	# - 1.1	<b>**</b> •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
CHARGE SHEET/REVOCATION TRACKING/SCHED		1 OR	STRIBUTION. DEPARTMEN IGINAL BOARD REPORT FCOPY - R.H.C	T OF CORRECTIONS
CDC 1676 (4/91)  REPORT TO: X BOARD OF PRISON TERMS	s	2N	D COPY - H.A. D COPY - PAROLEE	. •
NARCOTIC ADDICT EVAL	UATION AUTHORITY		H COPY - U.S.	CSTCU - ST
K39692 MATTHEWS, OLIVER ARREST DATE ARRESTING AGENCY	SAME.	TVC		YES X NO
09-19-07 SDPD  ARREST CODE * * ARREST CODES	MANDATORY	MON-MANDATORY	7770766/ SDC]	
A PACSD STAFF ALONE AB PACSD ASSISTED BY LAW ENFORCE			ENCY WITH INFORMATION FRO	
09-20-07 09-20-07 INTACT	A KEMP	DATE 12-02-07	DATE 12-02-07	IMMINENT DISCHARGE
CHARGES AND CODES 1. ROBBERY (225)	CHARGES 4.	NO CODES		
2. BATTERY ON SPOUSE (455)	PAROLE E CC	PY		•
3.	CalifAI 6			···
REASON FOR RETAINING PAROLE HOLD. PAROLEE DANGER TO:	THERS X SAFETY-OTH	DATE COPY SENT TO	PAROLEE . INITIALS C	F PERSON SENDING
ABSCOND SELF PROPERTY-01	THERS X SAFETT-OTH	LIG .		<u> </u>
On 09-19-07, at approximately 2050 hou male and a female fighting in the middle	rs Officer Borjas #5576	O and Vasquez #4: intersection of 18 <sup>t</sup>	193 responded to c h Avenue and Broa	all regarding a
Vasquez spoke to the victim Belinda Wil	liams.	intersection of to		· Onneer
Miss Williams told Officer Vasquez th	nat she has known M	Matthews for app	roximately 8 mon	ths and lived
together for approximately 2 months. To Matthews with some food and gas. Matt	iney are now irienus	. Miss williams	said that she agre	an on neibing
had after purchasing the food and gas. I	Matthews became an	gry and she exited	l the vehicle for fe	aræl of safety.
Matthews then followed her and snatch get her purse back as Matthews was was	alking back to his veh	icle <b>\</b> Matthews th	en grabbed her by	the neck and .
pushed her to the ground. Matthews d Williams got back into the vehicle and sl	ropped the handbag	on the street and	attempted to driv	<u>re awa</u> y. Miss
swollen lip.	ne was pastica due of	mo cur. one suctur	1#	
Photos were taken and kept in the contro	ol property room unde	r tag # 030942.	( -	
S Matthews-was-arrested on site and book	ed in the county			
•		54 m 1 1		
CASE CONFERENCE: Agent Domingurecommended.	iez spoke to 1. Schul	te PA III about th	us arrest. A return	to custody is
COURT INFORMATION: No pending	data at this time			
,	data at this time.			
WITNESSES: See CDC 1654.		P		
ATTACHMENTS: SDPD report dated 0	9-20-07.			
				·
		· ·		
PAROLEE'S NAME	MATTHEV	/S, OLIVER	CDC NUMBER	K39692

FROM 619-293-4206

(WED) SEP 26 2007 8:50/ST. 8:44/No.7500000487 P

Continued From: ARR/JUV, CON.	San Diego Officer's Rep			Incident Number 07090041690		
Page 7 of8				Case Number 07056055		
Code Section And Description (one incident only)		Date 09/19/2007		Day of Week WED	Time 20:50	
Location Of Incident (Or Address) 1000 08TH AV			City		District	Beat
Person(s) Involved: Victim Williams, Belinda Michelle					·	
Suspect (II Named) Matthews, Oliver Jr					·	
Property Tag No.(s) 030842						

#### SYNOPSIS:

Oliver Matthews snatched Belinda Williams' purse and handbag away from her grasp and then pushed her down on the ground when she attempted to retrieve her listed property.

#### **ORIGIN:**

Officer Borjas #5570 and I were dispatched to 8<sup>th</sup> AV. and Broadway regarding a report of a male and a female who were fighting in the middle of the street.

#### **INVESTIGATION:**

Prior to our arrival Officer Lopez #5892 and Officer Gilmore #5919 were flagged down by Williams at 1000 8<sup>th</sup> AV. Williams advised Officer Lopez and Officer Gilmore that Matthews had just assaulted her. Officer Lopez and Officer Gilmore subsequently detained Matthews until Officer Borjas arrived on scene and took custody of him.

#### BACKGROUND:

Williams said she met Mathews approximately 8 months ago while they were attending a drug rehab program. Williams said she and Matthews lived together for approximately 2 months during the 8 months they were dating. Williams said she and Matthews separated about 2 weeks ago however they have remained friends.

#### STATEMENT (S):

Upon my arrival I spoke with Williams. Williams told me this evening Matthews called her and asked her if she could help him with purchasing some food and gas for his car. Williams said she agreed and Matthews picked her up in his car. Williams told me at the intersection of 8<sup>th</sup> AV. and Broadway Matthews began asking her for half of the hundred dollars that she had left from the food and gas that she had just purchased. Williams said when she refused Matthews became angry and demanded that she give him some money. Williams said she subsequently feared for her safety and got out of Matthews' vehicle with her purse and handbag. Williams said as she was walking to the sidewalk Matthews had gotten out of his car and then suddenly snatched her purse and handbag away from her left hand. Williams told me she then attempted to grab her purse and handbag away from Matthews as he was walking back to his vehicle however he grabbed her by her neck and pushed her down on the street. Williams said she began yelling for help and asking someone to call the police. Williams told me Matthews dropped her purse and



		_ <del>_</del> _			
Reporting Officer VASQUEZ	ID # 4193	Division C2	Approved By	Date of Report 09/20/2007	Time 00:04

FROM 619-233-4206

(WED) SEP 26 2007 8:50/ST. 8:44/No.7500000487 P

	Diego Regional Report Narrative		Incident Number 07090041690		
Page 8 of8			Case Number 07055055		•
Code Section And Description (one incident only) 211 / PC / ROBBERY	Date 09/19/2007		Day of Week WED	Time 20:50	
Location Of Incident (Or Address) 1000 08TH AV		City		District	Beat
Person(s) Involved: Victim Williams, Belinda Michelle					
Suspect (If Named) Matthews, Oliver Jr					
Property Tag No.(s) . 030842					



handbag on the ground and then attempted to drive away in his vehicle. Williams said to prevent Matthews from driving away she got into the passenger seat of his vehicle and attempted to turn his car off. Williams said Matthews pushed her out of his car however she was able to flag down a Police Officer who was driving by in his patrol car.

#### EVIDENCE:

A/Sgt. Butchart #5153 responded to the scene and took 5 digital photographs of Williams and her injuries. A/Sgt. Butchart impounded the photo disk in Central's Property room on tag #030842.

#### INJURIES:

Williams sustained an abrasion on her left knee when Matthews pushed her down on the street and a swollen lip when he pushed her out of his vehicle.

#### PROPERTY DAMAGE:

None

#### FOLLOW-UP:

None

#### **RELATED REPORTS:**

See-Officer-Borjas' report for Matthews' statement-

Reporting Officer VASQUEZ	ID# 4193	Division C2	Approved By	Date of Report 09/20/2007	Time 00:04	

DM 619-233-4206		(	WED) SEP 26 :	2007 8:4	10/ST, 6	3: 44/No. 7500	000487	P (
Continued From: ARR/JUV, CON.	Of		go Region			Incident Number 07090041690		
Page 5 ol8			<u></u>			Case Number 07056055		
Code Section And Description (one inci	dent only)	······································		Date		Day of Week	Time	
211 / PC / ROBBERY Location Of Incident (Or Address)			<u></u>	09/19/2007	City	WED	20:50 District	Beat
1000 DBTH AV					<u></u>	<u>.</u>		
Person(s) Involved: Victim Williams, Belinda Michelle					•			
Suspect (If Named) Matthews, Oliver Jr	•							
Property Tag No.(s)								
030842		······	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
DES	CRIBE AL	T ÉVIDE	NCF AN	) DISP	OSITI	ON		
Digital photo disk impound						ATTEMPT OF BUILDING STATES	m and before	8.5
olgital prioto diok impound								
1.		1000	150050	•				2, 1
			VESSES :	0=0 110		and the second		$(A_{1})$
WERE WITNESSES PRE			STIC VIOLEN	ICE? NO				
IS WITNESS INFO LISTE WERE STATEMENT (s)		FORMS?		NO				
WENE STATEMENT (S)		ITNIESS	/ CHILDE					
WERE CHILDREN PRES						<u>yang dilanggan Sababat</u>	2 <u>3 3 3</u>	er (p. 1845)
STATEMENT(s) TAKEN?		DOMES	IC VIOLEIVO	NO				
OTATEMENT(S) TAILER.		en Present	: (more than thre			•		
1. Name, Age, and DOE	<del></del>		e, and DOB			ame, Age, an	d DOE	
Danis Date		alogotio	Afraid		Anol	ogetic	Afraio	,
Apologetic Afra	1 == '	plogetic	Calm			atening	Calm	· I
Threatening Cali		reatening <sub>.</sub> Im down	Irration	al I		n down	Irratio	
			Upset	al	_	ul/Crying	Upse	T I
Tearful/Crying Ups		rful/Crying sterical	Angry			erical	Angry	
Hysterical Ang	·  = ·	rvous	Lukigiy		Nerv		ניפייי ו	<i>'</i>
Nervous		rvous 1 <b>er:</b> Explain_				P.C. Explain		1
Other: Explain		ier Explain		7 Table 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	***	The many to the burst of the different		
RESTRAINING		(DIDED	50115051	11 23 11	TIM GI	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
NO CU TYPE:	RRENT or E)	CPIRED				MATION SHEE	i (PD-9	64)
ISSUING COURT:	IV/P4			RIME CAS			NI GMD	<b>-</b> D
ISSUING COUNT,						NIT PHÓNE I ÆR FORM S		
ORDER/DOCKET NUMB	FR.		WILDIOAL			on why?	MILL	•
OUDELINGOCKET HOMB	L-11.				,			•
•						i		
	VICTIM WILL	BE AT A T	EMPORARY A	ADDRESS	? NO			
•				,				
			•	•				
Bungding Officer	I ID#	Division	Approved	1 Bv	<del> </del>	Date of Report	Time	•
Reporting Officer VASQUEZ	4193	C2	Approved	,		09/20/2007	00:04	

Continued Y

(WED) SEP 26 2007 8:49/ST. 8:44/No.7500000487 P 5

ARR/JUV. CON.				go Regior eport Nari			Incident Numbe 07090041690	er	
Page							Case Number 07056055		
4 ol8 Code Section And Description	one incident only	)			Date		Day of Week	Time	
211 / PC / ROBBERY Location Of Incident (Or Addr 1000 08TH AV		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			09/19/2007	City	WED	20:50 District	Beat
Person(s) Involved: Victim Williams, Belinda Michelle Suspect (If Named) Matthews, Oliver Jr Property Tag No.(s)									
03.0842	erekur serekti biri seper Vili	Triver to the					ogganese et e	ing sensing se	
NONE			RAMEDI	TREATM CS AT SCE		HOSPIT	AL:		
WILL SEEK OW	N DOCTOR		UNIT NU	NO MBER		ATTENI	DING PHYSI	ICIAN(S)	):
☐ PARAMEDICS ☐ HOSPITAL ☑ REFUSED MED	DICAL AID	!		DICS NAME Names & Id)	S			•	
SPOUSE		ER SPO	USE	ÉN VICT	IM AN		SPECT RELATIONS!	-IIP	
COHABITANTS ENGAGED SAME SEX DATING	FORM EMANG	ER DAT CIPATED NTS OF	MINOR	IF APPLI	CABLE,	, ,	8 MONTH	(S)	
		1176671							
PRIOR HISTORY OF PRIOR HISTORY ON NUMBER OF PRIO CASE NUMBER(s) INVESTIGATING A	DOMESTIC OF VIOLENCE R INCIDENT	VIOLENC E DOCU	E UNDOC	)? · I	40		MINOR		
PRIOR HISTORY ON NUMBER OF PRIOCASE NUMBER(s) INVESTIGATING A	DOMESTIC OF VIOLENCE OF INCIDENT	VIOLENC E DOCU S:	E UNDOOMENTED	)? · I	OTED		RIBE ALL PH		
PRIOR HISTORY ON NUMBER OF PRIOR CASE NUMBER(s) INVESTIGATING A PHOTOS:YES FROM: 1000 8 TAKEN BY: A. Buto	DOMESTIC OF VIOLENCE INCIDENT GENCY:	VIOLENC E DOCU S:	E UNDOOMENTED	? COLLE to-of-victim-	NO OTED	otos-of-h	RIBE ALL PH	OTOGRA	PHS)
PRIOR HISTORY C NUMBER OF PRIO CASE NUMBER(s) INVESTIGATING A PHOTOS:YES FROM: 1000 8 <sup>1</sup>	DOMESTIC OF VIOLENCE INCIDENT GENCY:  GENCY:  HAV.  chart #5153  njuries: s injuries: g incident damage:	VIOLENC E DOCU S:	E UNDOOMENTED	? COLLE to-of-victim-	OTED and-4-pho impound	otos-of-h TYPE: ded: ded for s	PIBE ALLERH er-injuries.	OTOGRA	PHS
PRIOR HISTORY CONUMBER OF PRIOR CASE NUMBER(s) INVESTIGATING A  PHOTOS:YES FROM: 1000 8 <sup>th</sup> TAKEN BY: A. Bute Other: Photo's of victim's in Photo's of suspect's Weapon used durin Photo's of property	DOMESTIC OF VIOLENCE INCIDENT GENCY:  GENCY:  HAV.  chart #5153  njuries: s injuries: g incident damage:	VIOLENCE DOCUTS:  EVIOLENCE  Why?-  YES NO NO	E UNDOOMENTED	COLLE to-of-victim-a Number: 5 Weapon(s) Firearm(s)	OTED and-4-pho impound	otos-of-h TYPE: ded: ded for s	PIBE ALLERH er-injuries.	OTOGRA	PHS

Continued From: ARR/JUV. CON.		San Diego Regional							
		Off	icer's Rep	ort i	<u> Varrative</u>				
Page 3 ol8							Case Number 17056055		
Code Section And Descrip	otion (one incident on	јуј	······································		Date			Time 20:50	
211 / PC / ROBBERY Location Of Incident (Or A	ddress)		<u> </u>		09/19/2007	City		District	Beat
1000 08TH AV						, '.			L
Person(s) Invalved: Victim Williams, Belinda Michelle									
Suspect (If Named) Matthews, Oliver Jr									
Property Tag No.(s)	·								
030842									
	SA	N DIEC	O POLIC	E	DEPARTME	NT	ार्ग पूर्व कर <b>्या</b>		
					UPPLEME				
Use Arrows or Tab							· .		
ava se altera era. Una versamera varangar	e, february is		A STATE OF THE STA			SUSPI	ECT		
ANGRY		OMPLAIN	IT OF PAIN	X	ANGRY		COMPLAINT	OF P	AIN
APOLOGET	===	RUISE (S			APOLOGETIC	;	BRUISE (S)		
CRYING	=	BRASION	•	lΠ	CRYING		ABRASION	(S)	
FEARFUL		MINOR CU		lH	FEARFUL		MINOR CUT		
HYSTERICA		ACERATI			HYSTERICAL		LACERATIC		
CALM	=	ONCUSS	` '	一	CALM		CONCUSSIO	٠.	•
IRRATIONAL	===	THER: EX	• •		IRRATIONAL		OTHER: EX	•	•
NERVOUS		Inder the I			NERVOUS		Under the In		
THREATEN		hol	Drugs 🗌		THREATENIN	G Alc	cohol	Drugs	; <b></b>
OTHER: EXP	u AINI	_			OTHER: EXPL	AINI	_	•	
OTTIETTEXI	MLTY	AYS explain OSITES in ha			•	AL	<b>WAYS</b> explain . <u>POSITES</u> in nar	rative.	
	9113	<u> </u>	27141,100	•					
इंडरिक । इ.कि.मी.जि.हरीर, र र	DES	SCRIBE	ALL CONE	)ITIC	ONS OBSER	VED	es de la elimpia en la como		
						Martine 1	23	And the	
	,		,				_		
PHYSICAL:	Belinda Williar	ns sustain	ed a swollen	lowe	er lip and an at	orasion o	n her left kne	e.	
						,			
,					•				
EMOTIONAL:	Williams told n	ne she wa	s upset over	the i	ncident and fe	arful of C	liver Matthew	's' vio	ent;
LINO HOIVAL.	behavior.			•	•			•	
								*	
CRIME	The incident of	occurred o	n 1000 8 <sup>th</sup> A	V. in	the middle of t	he street	•		
SCENE:									
Reporting Officer		ID#	Division	A	pproved By		Date of Report	Time	
VASQUEZ		4193	C2				09/20/2007	00:04	
							Con	tinue	ed Y



## **BOARD OF PRISON TERMS** PAROLEE - ATTORNEY DECISION FORM

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ASSESSMENT OFFER OF:/1	months (NELIGIBLE) ELIGIBLE (cir	cle one)
NOTICE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT documents except the following (in everything):  Select one of the five following options:	: I have gotten and understand(or had explained dicate form name and description or indicated	ed to me) all necessar ate "N/A" if you go
1. ACCEPT: I accept the return to cuto contest the charges against me or h	ustody order (assessment offer) and uncondition have a probable cause or full revocation hearin posed on me by the Board of Prison Terms.	nally give up my right ng. I also agree to any
(probable cause and full revocation) a have a hearing later (within 15 days of		iled. I may choose to
3. I request a PROBABLE CAUSE I until the conclusion of the Probable Ca	<b>HEARING.</b> I understand that the assessment ause Hearing.	offer will remain oper
4. I request an EXPEDITED PROBA on separate sheet. Note, an offer of puthat are the basis of the parole hold).	BLE CAUSE HEARING. (Please describe rearoof is required to show there is a complete defe	ason for this request ense to the charges
5. REJECT: I reject the screening of revocation hearing.	ffer, give up my right to a probable cause hear	ing, and request a ful
I intend to admit to the classic those not circled are presum.  Charges I Admit:	harges circled below. (Circle the appropriate ned to be a plea of either deny or no plea):  1 2 3 4 5 6 7	
I need the following ADA and/or forei hearing:	gn language accommodation for my	
WAIVERS: I give up my right to the f	Collowing: (Select all boxes that apply)	
	witnesses at my full revocation hearing.	
I give up my right to call my	y parole agent to attend my full revocation hear	ing.
I need more da	sys to prepare. I give up my right to have a time	ely (probable
cause/full revocation) hearing	ng. (Circle one or both).	
Signature of Inmate/Parolee	Inmate/Parolee refused to sign/appear (circle one or both). Witness:	Date (
Printed Name and Signature of Attorney	Telephone Number	Date / /
THE BY		
NAME	CDC NUMBER	INST/REGION

BPT 1104-B (Rev 01/05)

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### \*PRIORITY CASE\*

#### SUMMARY OF REVOCATION HEARING AND DECISION

Records Office Use Only
Projected Revocation Release Date
Revocation Release Date
Controlling Discharge Date
Discharge Review Date

PH Rules, Chapter 6, Article 3)			•	.*			Controlling Discharge Date  Discharge Review Date
			<del></del>	·····			
		PRELIMIN	ARY II	NFORM	ATIC	N	
ype of Hearing REVOCATION					DON	0V <i>I</i>	aring AN RECEPTION CENTER ustody at Time of Hearing: YES
asis for Charges Parole Violation Report, Dated: 01-C	CT-20	07		Option N	ai W	aiv	er  Date Signed by Parolee:  Date of BPH Action:
Police Report Agency Dated:				Asses	ssme	nt:	Date of BritiAction.
egal Data The crime for w hich the parolee w as on the crime for w hich the parolee w as on the crime for w hich the parolee w as one current parole violation. Date hold was placed on current parole	commit on cha	ted to priso rge(s): 19	n occ 9-SEP	urred o -2007	n or	afte	
ADA Special Needs:							
1. X Yes No Parolee (If Parolee 2. X Yes No Attorney Name: J. 3. X Yes No Agent of Record of 4. Yes No Hearing Agent: 5. Yes X No Observers Name 6. Yes X No Interpreter Assign	ACOBY or Subs me and ned Lai	Y, J stitute: KE Organizati		NDREA			Waived Reason not present: Reason not present: ame
Present Name		Notified		Desig.*	Testified	Excused	If absent, state specific reason.
Yes No X OFFICER VASQUEZ	Meth.*	Date 10-OCT-07	Stat.	Req.	X	ü	
X BELINDA MICHELE WILLIAMS	SP	10-OCT-07	Α	S	×		
	. •			<b>~.</b>		•	
ANOTIFICATION METHOD		,	٠	****	A DDD	E3/1A	TION FOR WITNESS DESIGNATION
*NOTIFICATION METHOD  M = Memo PC = Personal Contact L = Letter SP = Subpoena PH = Phone TT = Teletype	·					A = F =	Adverse Requested by: S = State  Friendly P = Parolee  Victim
AME CDC N ATTHEWS, OLIVER K3969	NUMBE 12	:R			CHI	JLA	REGION / AGENT HEARING DATE VISTA 3 / 4 19-OCT-2007 ANDREA

# BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS SUMMARY OF REVOCATION HEARING AND DECISION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Preliminary Information (cont.)

H. HEARING:

Occurred

REASON:

Specify Witnesses/	n		K	4 L :
Specify withesses/	uncuments	neenen i	IOI DEX	r nearing:
Opcon J Williams		1100aca	101 1107	i ncamg.

#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

#### ADMISSIONS/DENIALS AND FINDINGS

		Plea			Finding	S	
Code Number	Charge Specified	Admit	Deny	No Plea	Good Cause	Dismiss	Postponed
225	Robbery (no w eapon)		Х		Х		
455	Rattery spouse/child		· ·		Y	Ţ · · · · ·	1
433	Battery spouse/cmiu				^ .	<u> </u>	
	Code Number	Code Number Charge Specified  225 Robbery (no w eapon)	Code Number Charge Specified Admit  225 Robbery (no w eapon)	Code Number Charge Specified Admit Deny 225 Robbery (no w eapon) X	Code Number Charge Specified Admit Deny No Plea  225 Robbery (no w eapon) X	Code Number Charge Specified Admit Deny No Plea Cause 225 Robbery (no w eapon) X X	Code Number Charge Specified Admit Deny No Plea Cause Dismiss  225 Robbery (no w eapon) X X

NAME MATTHEWS, OLIVER CDC NUMBER K39692 INST/REGION / AGENT CHULA VISTA 3 / 4 KEMP, ANDREA HEARING DATE 19-OCT-2007 BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

#### SUMMARY OF REVOCATION HEARING AND DECISION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SUMMARY O	F DISPOSITION
Parole Referral: REFER	Parolee Decision
Custody Status: In Custody as of 20-SEP-07	Accept Reject Optionally Waive
Continue on Parole Schedule for Revocation	Optional Waivers
Dismiss Other Non-Rev Sanction	Previous BPH Action of is:
X Parole Revoked-Return to Custody: 10 months	Rescinded Reaffirmed
Serve Consecutively Concurrently	Special Conditions of Parole
Parole Revoked-Return to Custody: months for Psych Rx	X Noted Reaffirmed Amended
Time Served:	Other
Hold Order: Place Remove	Special Condition Reason
3057 Credits	
Bigible	
X   Ineligible 3057d-1   Reason for Ineligibility:	
Commitment Offense:	
X Revocation Offense: ATT ROBBERY	Instructions to CDCR or DAPO Staff
Parole Violation:	Instructions to CDCR of DAPO Staff
Sentenced under PC 1168:	
Unsuitable for credits because of PC 3057(d)(2)(e)	,
Prior Criminal History	
Circumstances & Gravity of Parole Violation	Miscellaneous Actions
Specify Reason	
- Control of the cont	
BPH HEARING PANEL	
NA ME:	REVOCATION HEARING TIME (MINUTES)
NAME / San	1. Prehearing Prep. Time: 10
	2. Actual Hearing Time: 65
DECISION REVIEW BY:	3. Report Completion Time: 10
	4. Other:
	Total: 85
	learing Accommodations (ADA) Provided: Yes No
Accom:	·
<u> </u>	
NAME CDC NUMBER MATTHEWS, OLIVER K39692	INST/REGION / AGENT HEARING DATE CHULA VISTA 3 / 4 19-OCT-2007 KEMP, ANDREA

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS

#### SUMMARY OF REVOCATION HEARING AND DECISION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **REASON FOR DECISION**

#### **Basis for Conclusion:**

CHARGE # 1 : ATT ROBBERY : GCF : OFFICER VASQUEZ TESTIFIED THAT HE RESPONDED TO A FIGHT AND INTERVIEWED VICTIM WILLIAMS WHO TOLD HIM THAT SHE AND S WERE ARGUING ABOUT MONEY AS S WANTED \$50.00. SHE GOT OUT OF HIS VEHICLE AND S THEN GOT OUT THE VEHICLE AND GRABBED HER PURSE AND HANDBAG. VICTIM WILLIAMS WENT AFTER S AS HE WAS GOING BACK TO HIS VEHICLE AND TRIED TOM GET HER HAND BAG BACK. S GRABBED HER BY THE THROAT AND THREW HER TO THE GROUND. THE VICTIM WAS SCREAMING FOR SOMEONE TO CALL THE POLICE AND TRIED TO GET INTO S' VEHICLE TO PREVENT HIM FROM LEAVING. S THEN PUSHED HER OUT OF HIS VEHICLE. THE VICTIM SUSTAINED A BRUISED KNEE AND A SWOLLEN LIP FROM BEING PUSHED TO THE GROUND AND KICKED OUT OF THE VEHICLE. VICTIM WILLIAMS TESTIFIED THAT SHE DIDN'T REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED THAT DAY OR WHAT SHE TOLD THE POLICE. SHE STATED SHE WAS MAD AND DOESN'T REMEMBER S GRABBING HER OR PUSHING HER TO THE GROUND OR PUSHING HER OUT OF THE VEHICLE. SHE FURTHER STATED THAT SHE DOESN'T THINK S EVER GOT HER PURSE OFF HER ARM. S STATED THAT THE VICTIM IS A DIABETIC AND SHE WAS HAVING PROBLEMS. HE TRIED TO STOP HER FROM GOING AWAY AND HE GRABBED HER ARM AND SHE FELL OFF THE CURB. S STATED HE WAS JUST TRYING TO TALK TO HER. CHARGE # 2 : BATTERY ON SPOUSE : GCF : (SEE ABOVE)

#### **Basis for Disposition:**

C/O PT/W PRIOR 2ND TERMER PD 7/15/04

CRIMINAL HISTORY BURG 1ST AND POSS CS

PRIOR VIOLATIONS USE OF COCAINE, POSS OF COCAINE

S HAS A HISTORY OF DRUGS AND PROPERTY CRIMES. S HAS FAILED TO ABIDE BY THE LAW BY BEING INVOLVED IN FELONIOUS ACTS. ALTERNATIVE SANCTIONS HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED AND DEEMED TO BE INAPPROPRIATE DUE TO PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS. IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY A RTC IS ORDERED.

RTC 10 MONTHS INELIGIBLE.

NAME MATTHEWS, OLIVER CDC NUMBER K39692 INST/REGION / AGENT CHULA VISTA 3 / 4 KEMP, ANDREA HEARING DATE 19-OCT-2007 BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS
SUMMARY OF REVOCATION HEARING AND DECISION

V. OBJECTIONS

X None

Yes

NAME MATTHEWS, OLIVER CDC NUMBER K39692 INST/REGION / AGENT CHULA VISTA 3 / 4 KEMP, ANDREA HEARING DATE 19-OCT-2007

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Page 5 of 5

PERMANENT ADDENDUM

				DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
SUMMARY OF PAROL	E ADJUSTMENT	•		
* CDC 1521-B (1/91)	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		CH LEGAL STATUS SUM	IMARY	DATE OF REPORT
K39692	NAME (LAST, FIRST, MI) MATTHEWS, OLI	VER .		09-30-07
K0909Z		PRIOR COMMITMENT(S)		
OFFENSE TITLE(S)			CODE SECTION(S)	DATE(S) OF COMMITMENT
Burglary 1st/ Possession of	Controlled Substance	• .	PC459/HS11350	02-20-97
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	•			
SPECIAL CONDITION(S) OF PAROLE			INITIAL PAROLE DATE	LAST REV. REL DATE (RRD)/
3) BUING CONDITION(S) C. TIMOLL			07-15-04	02-21-06
			L	
ANT NO CONTACT WITH	A VICTIMS			
NO CONTACT WITH	1 VICIIMS		•	
		RESIDENCE		
LAST KNOWN ADDRESS  223 W. 49 <sup>TH</sup> Street		OLIVER MATTHEW	S SR	RESIDENTIAL PATTERN
SAN DIEGO, CA		. OEIVER MINITINE	5 511.	
		MEANS OF SUPPORT	DATES EMPLO	YED FR: - TO:   POSITION HELD
SOURCE OF SUPPORT UNKNOWN	IF EMPLOYED, EMPLOYE	R'S NAME & BUSINESS ADDRESS	- DATES EMPLO	- Position need
ONKNOWN				1
EVALUATION - Cover the peri	od from date of last release to co	urrent report. Include positive and ne	gative factors of this rele	ease and community programs available
in lieu of revocation, e.g. drug pro			L. California D	enament of Corrections for
Oliver Matthews is a	43 year old Second	Termer committed to the ceived a thirty two mont	ne Camorma D	epartment of Corrections for
the offense Petty Thei	t with a Prior. He re-	cerved a thinty two mon	cii ceitii.	
His criminal history o	onsists of conviction	ns for Appropriate lost F	Property, Assau	lt on a Peace Officer, Use of a
Controlled Substance	e. Transport/ Sell	Controlled Substance,	Burglary 1 <sup>st</sup> ,	Possess Control Substance
Paraphernalia, Posses	s Controlled Substar	nce, Theft, and Petty The	eft with Prior.	
			·····	i de la constanta de la consta
Matthews parole adju	istment to this date	has been positive. He has	as reported as 1	nstructed with no violations.
The present charges a	ire serious and warra	nt a return to custody.		
		·		
		,		
		•		
•		•		
•		•		
				and the second of the second o
commence for the contract of t				
•	•			
PAROLEE'S NAME		MATTHEWS	OLIVED	CDC NUMBER K39692

### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) DUVER MATTHEWS (C39692 FAC5 21/123 CLA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) P.O. Box 799005
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) D. D. SECOLO
[C.C.P. §§ 446, 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. §1746] SAN DIEGO, A. GZITG
[C.C.P. 99 446, 2013.3; 28 U.S.C. 91746] = 1740   21 = 400   300   400
I,, am a resident of the State of California and am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My address is listed below.
Λ.Δ-
On $\frac{03-04-2008}{03-16-2008}$ I served the following documents:
CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT UNDER 42 U.S.C. \$1983
by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with First Class postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail by delivering to prison officials for processing through the Institution's internal legal mail system at San Diego California, addressed as follows::
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
OUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
880 FRONT STREET, SUITE 4290
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8900
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is type and correct. Executed in the County of San Diego,
California on 03 04 2008
03-16-2008 CM
CRAIG SMITH
P.O. Box 794005 San Diego, CA 92179-900 6
San Diego, CA 92179-900 6

Pursuant to the holding of the United States Supreme Court in <u>Houston v. Lack</u> 108 S. Ct. 2379, 487 U.S. 266, 101 L.Ed.2d 245 (1988) and FRAP, Rule 4 (c) inmate legal documents are deemed filed on the date they are delivered to prison staff for processing and mailing via the Institution's internal legal mail procedures.